

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,676	03/29/2004	Byung Chul Ahn	8733.1044.00-US	1169		
30827	30827 7590 . 11/30/2006			EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			TON, MINH TOAN T			
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	11, 20 2000		2871			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,676	AHN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Toan Ton	2871	

	Toan Ton	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contour. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			110 103003 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ied.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 11/17/06 are found not persuasive. The use of in-plane-switching LCD device comprising common electrode/line/pad formed of at least a conductive layer in the common electrode line and the pixel electrode formed on the same substrate (yielding horizontal field) is common and known in the art for achieving advantages such as wide viewing angle (see at least Komatsu, Park, Song cited in the previous rejection). Thus, the final rejection is maintained.

TOWNTON PERSONNERS